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#### Abstract

This paper describes an approximate mathematical formula that relates the two transcendental mathematical constants $e$ and $\pi$ to each other through the use of only four other simple integers. The formula arises out of a consideration of the topological character of certain 3-,4-connected networks.
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## 1. Introduction

Some considerations of the topological character of certain 3-,4-connected networks lead to rather quite interesting connections with the two transcendental numbers $e$ and $\pi$ [1,2]. These results have come as an outgrowth of earlier results [1] on the computation of certain structural properties of properly scaled versions of some commonly known structure-types in crystal chemistry including the cubic diamond and Waserite networks.

The mathematical constants $e$ and $\pi$ are ubiquitous in mathematical and scientific formulae. In addition they are known as the transcendental numbers as they are infinitely, non-repeating continued fractions [3,4]. A formula has been devised herein where these two transcendental mathematical constants are related to each other by only four other simple integers: $3,4,5$, and 7 .

This formula arises out of considerations of the structural character of certain 3-,4-connected networks including the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ structure-type, which was first reported by Waser et al. [5]. In particular, it is the topological character of such networks, in which a 3-to-4 stoichiometry of 4-connected vertices to 3-connected vertices, respectively holds, that gives rise to this formula. Therefore, the formula
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Figure 1. Crystal structure of Waserite or the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ lattice.
arises through the identification of the corresponding connectivity index, $p$, of such 3 -, 4 -connected networks $[1,2]$. The connectivity index, $p$, for these networks has been shown, in a separate publication, to be equal to a weighted average over the valences of the vertices in the unit cell of a structure [2]. For the $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ lattice, shown in figure 1 , the following relations (1a) and (1b) hold.

$$
\begin{align*}
& p=(3 \cdot 4+4 \cdot 3) / 7  \tag{1a}\\
& p=(2 / 5) e \cdot \pi . \tag{1b}
\end{align*}
$$

It is relations (1a) and (1b) that form the basis for the formula described in section 2 below.

## 2. Mathematical formulae

The $e \cdot \pi$ relation, which is only approximate, and returns an equality to within $>99 \%$ of the true value of the product $e \cdot \pi$, is shown as equation (2) below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e \cdot \pi=\frac{3 \times 4 \times 5}{7} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting in this context that two transcendental numbers can be related to each other to within $>99 \%$ accuracy through the use of only four simple integers. In fact, the relation can be factored such that it is entirely based upon the first 4 prime numbers $2,3,5$, and 7 .

Equation (3) shows a reformulation of the approximate $e \cdot \pi$ relation given in equation (1) to emphasize the strange nature of the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \cdot 2.333333333333333 \ldots \ldots \cdot e \cdot \pi=4 \cdot 5 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case we see that 1 multiplied by the continued fraction represented as $2^{1 / 3}$, multiplied by $e$ and $\pi$, leads to the product of 4 and 5 . It is as if the integer sequence $1,2,3,3,3,3,3 \ldots \ldots$ is transformed to the integer sequence 4,5 by the insertion of the mathematical factor $e \cdot \pi$.

Rearranged with the factor $2^{1 / 3}$ placed as a denominator, underneath the factor 4.5 on the righthand side of (2), the relation can be seen to be suggestive of the existence of the 5 Elements of Plato's Timeas. Plato equated the Ancient Greek element fire with the Platonic solid known as the tetrahedron. This occurs as the factor 1 on the lefthand side of (2), and can be seen to be self-reciprocal or self-dual, as is the tetrahedron $(3,3)[1,2]$. In the denominator on the righthand side of (2), the factor 2 in $2^{1 / 3}$ can be seen to represent the Ancient Greek element air, or the octahedron $(3,4)$, which is reciprocal, or dual, to the Ancient Greek element earth, or the cube $(4,3)$, that occurs in the numerator, in a reciprocity with the factor 2 , as the factor 4 . Finally, the decimal factor 0.3333....... in $2^{1 / 3}$ in the denominator of (2) can be seen to represent the Ancient Greek element water, or the icosahedron $(3,5)$, which is reciprocal, or dual, to the Ancient Greek element called the quintessence, or the dodecahedron $(5,3)$, that occurs as the factor 5 in the numerator in (2).

Therefore there are the first 5 simple integers in relation (2) that connect the transcendental numbers $e$ and $\pi$ to each other. These numbers: 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, are thus seen to be suggestive of the 5 regular polyhedra constructed in the culmination of Euclid's Elements and employed as the Ancient Greek elements in Plato's cosmogony developed in his treatise called the Timeas.

## 3. Conclusion

We see in this communication that, in fact, simple topological considerations of certain crystallographically defined networks [5], or patterns, give rise to a connection to the fundamental constants of mathematics. Relations similar to that shown here have been derived, separately, to define the constants $\pi$ and $e$ by independent topological-geometrical considerations, based upon the intrinsic structural character of scaled versions of the cubic diamond and $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ lattices, as well as other lattices [1].
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